

§2. Dirac and the Spinor

§ 2.1 Representations of the Lorentz group

Einstein's theory of relativity states that different "inertial frames" with coordinates x'^{μ} and x^{μ} are equivalent iff

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} dx'^{\mu} dx'^{\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the diagonal matrix

$$\eta_{00} = 1, \quad \eta_{ii} = -1, \quad i=1,2,3$$

$$\rightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\rho}} \frac{\partial x'^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\sigma}} = \eta_{\rho\sigma} \quad (1)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{linear trf. } x'^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} x^{\nu} + a^{\mu}$$

$$\text{with } \eta_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\rho} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\sigma} = \eta_{\rho\sigma} \quad (2)$$

\rightarrow induce unitary linear transformations on states in physical Hilbert space

$$\psi \mapsto U(\Lambda, a)\psi$$

$$\text{with } U(\Lambda', a') U(\Lambda, a) = U(\Lambda'\Lambda, \Lambda'a + a') \quad (3)$$

"inhomogeneous Lorentz group" or
"Poincaré group"

Setting $a=0 \rightarrow$ "homogeneous Lorentz group"
 infinitesimal transformation:

$$\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu + \omega^\mu{}_\nu, \quad a^\mu = \xi^\mu$$

where $\omega^\mu{}_\nu, \xi^\mu \ll 1$

\rightarrow equation (2) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\rho\sigma} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} (\delta^\mu{}_\rho + \omega^\mu{}_\rho) (\delta^\nu{}_\sigma + \omega^\nu{}_\sigma) \\ &= \eta_{\sigma\rho} + \omega_{\sigma\rho} + \omega_{\rho\sigma} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu}$$

an anti-symmetric second rank tensor
 in 4d has $(4 \times 3)/2 = 6$ independent
 components. We have

$$U(\mathbb{1} + \omega, \xi) = \mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{2} i \omega_{\rho\sigma} J^{\rho\sigma} - i \xi P^0 + \dots$$

\nearrow
 higher order terms

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow J^{\rho\sigma\dagger} &= J^{\rho\sigma}, \quad P^{\rho\dagger} = P^\rho \quad (\text{unitarity}) \\ J^{\rho\sigma} &= -J^{\sigma\rho} \quad (\omega \text{ is anti-sym}) \end{aligned}$$

As we will see: $P^1, P^2,$ and P^3 are the
 components of the momentum operators,
 $J^{23}, J^{31},$ and J^{12} are components of the
 angular momentum, and P^0 is the Hamiltonian

Consider the product

$$U(\Lambda, a) U(\mathbb{1} + \omega, \varepsilon) U^{-1}(\Lambda, a)$$

↑
unrelated to ω, ε

$$(3) \rightarrow \underbrace{U(\Lambda^{-1}, -\Lambda^{-1}a)} U(\Lambda, a) = U(\mathbb{1}, 0)$$

$$\rightarrow = U^{-1}(\Lambda, a)$$

$$\rightarrow U(\Lambda, a) U(\mathbb{1} + \omega, \varepsilon) U^{-1}(\Lambda, a)$$

$$= U(\Lambda(\mathbb{1} + \omega)\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda\varepsilon - \Lambda\omega\Lambda^{-1}a)$$

To first order in $\omega_{\rho\sigma}$ and ε_{ρ} we have then

$$U(\Lambda, a) \left[\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\rho\sigma} \gamma^{\rho\sigma} - \varepsilon_{\rho} P^{\rho} \right] U^{-1}(\Lambda, a)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda\omega\Lambda^{-1})_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\mu\nu} - (\Lambda\varepsilon - \Lambda\omega\Lambda^{-1}a)_{\mu} P^{\mu}$$

equating coefficients of $\omega_{\rho\sigma}$ and ε_{ρ} on both sides, we find

$$U(\Lambda, a) \gamma^{\rho\sigma} U^{-1}(\Lambda, a) = \Lambda_{\mu}^{\rho} \Lambda_{\nu}^{\sigma} (\gamma^{\mu\nu} - a^{\mu} P^{\nu} + a^{\nu} P^{\mu})$$

$$U(\Lambda, a) P^{\rho} U^{-1}(\Lambda, a) = \Lambda_{\mu}^{\rho} P^{\mu} \quad (4)$$

→ under homogeneous Lorentz trfs. ($a=0$),

P^{μ} is a vector and $\gamma^{\mu\nu}$ is a tensor

Setting now $\Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} + \omega^{\mu}_{\nu}$, $a^{\mu} = \varepsilon^{\mu}$, $\omega, \varepsilon \ll 1$,

with ω, ε unrelated to previous ω, ε

$$(4) \rightarrow i \left[\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\mu\nu} - \xi_{\mu} P^{\mu}, \gamma^{\rho\sigma} \right]$$

$$= \omega_{\mu}{}^{\rho} \gamma^{\mu\sigma} + \omega_{\nu}{}^{\sigma} \gamma^{\rho\nu} - \xi^{\rho} P^{\sigma} + \xi^{\sigma} P^{\rho}$$

$$i \left[\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\mu\nu} - \xi_{\mu} P^{\mu}, P^{\rho} \right] = \omega_{\mu}{}^{\rho} P^{\mu}$$

equating coefficients of $\omega_{\mu\nu}, \xi_{\mu}$ on both sides

$$\rightarrow i [\gamma^{\mu\nu}, \gamma^{\rho\sigma}] = \eta^{\nu\rho} \gamma^{\mu\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\rho} \gamma^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\sigma\mu} \gamma^{\rho\nu} + \eta^{\sigma\nu} \gamma^{\rho\mu}$$

$$i [P^{\mu}, \gamma^{\rho\sigma}] = \eta^{\mu\rho} P^{\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\sigma} P^{\rho} \quad (5)$$

$$[P^{\mu}, P^{\rho}] = 0$$

\rightarrow Lie algebra of Poincaré group

Operators that commute with energy $H = P^0$:

$$\vec{P} = \{P^1, P^2, P^3\}$$

$$\vec{J} = \{J^{23}, J^{31}, J^{12}\}$$

and P^0 itself. Remaining generators form "boost" three-vector:

$$\vec{K} = \{J^{01}, J^{02}, J^{03}\}$$

\rightarrow not conserved! Hence physical states are not labeled by eigenvalues of \vec{K}

In three-dimensional notation:

$$[J_i, J_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$$

$$[J_i, K_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} K_k, \quad \epsilon_{123} = +1$$

$$[K_i, K_j] = -i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k,$$

$$[J_i, P_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} P_k,$$

$$[K_i, P_j] = -i H \delta_{ij},$$

$$[J_i, H] = [P_i, H] = [H, H] = 0$$

$$[K_i, H] = -i P_i$$

Looking at the homogeneous Lorentz group, i.e. $\{J_i, K_i\}$, we can form combinations

$$J_{\pm i} = \frac{1}{2}(J_i \pm iK_i)$$

$$\rightarrow [J_{+i}, J_{+j}] = i \epsilon_{ijk} J_{+k} \quad \text{su}(2)\text{-alg}$$

$$[J_{-i}, J_{-j}] = i \epsilon_{ijk} J_{-k} \quad \text{su}(2)\text{-alg}$$

$$[J_{+i}, J_{-j}] = 0$$

mathematically: $\text{su}(2) \otimes \text{su}(2) \cong \text{so}(1,3)$

\rightarrow representations of $\text{so}(1,3)$
can be labeled as

$$(0,0), (\frac{1}{2}, 0), (0, \frac{1}{2}), (1, 0), (0, 1), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), \dots$$

§2.2 The Dirac Equation

We know the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$(\partial^2 + m^2)\psi = 0$$

→ relativistic wave equation quadratic in spacetime derivatives, describing a free scalar particle of mass m

Question: Is there a relativistic (Dirac, 1928) wave equation linear in spacetime derivatives $\partial_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$?

$$\rightarrow (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0 \quad (1)$$

γ^μ cannot simply be 4 numbers (not Lorentz invariant)

→ acting with $(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m)$ gives:

$$-(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + m^2)\psi = 0$$

Now define "anti-commutator"

$$\{A, B\} = AB + BA$$

$$\rightarrow \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu$$

and therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + m^2\right)\psi = 0$$

Thus $\{\gamma^m, \gamma^v\} = 2\eta^{mv}$ (2)

with η^{mv} the Minkowski metric, giving

$$(\partial^2 + m^2)\psi = 0$$

Now

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & -1 & \\ 0 & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow (\gamma^0)^2 = 1, \quad (\gamma^i)^2 = -1, \quad \gamma^m \gamma^v = -\gamma^v \gamma^m$$

for $m \neq v$

Last condition implies that the γ^m cannot be ordinary numbers!

Clifford algebra

A set of objects satisfying condition (2) is called a "Clifford algebra"

One solution of equations (2) is given by the following 4×4 matrices:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma^3, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma^i \otimes i\sigma^2$$

"gamma matrices"

where the $\sigma^i, i=1, 2, 3$ are the standard Pauli matrices

We also define $\gamma_\mu := \gamma_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\nu$

Let us compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^i \gamma^i &= (\sigma^i \otimes i \sigma^2) (\sigma^i \otimes i \sigma^2) \\ &= (\sigma^i \sigma^i \otimes i^2 \sigma^2 \sigma^2) \\ &= -(\sigma^i \sigma^i \otimes \mathbb{1})\end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \{\gamma^i, \gamma^i\} = -\{\sigma^i, \sigma^i\} \otimes \mathbb{1} = -2\delta^{ii} \checkmark$$

Note: There is no representation of the Clifford algebra in 4d with the γ^μ smaller than 4×4 matrices!

Next, let's transform to momentum space:

$$\psi(x) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot x} \psi(p)$$

\rightarrow plugging into (1) gives:

$$(\gamma^\mu p_\mu - m) \psi(p) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Lorentz invariance:

We now want to show that (3) is Lorentz invariant. Define

$$\gamma^{uv} := \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^u, \gamma^v]$$

$$\rightarrow [\gamma^{uv}, \gamma^\lambda] = i(\gamma^u \gamma^{v\lambda} - \gamma^v \gamma^{u\lambda})$$

$\rightarrow \gamma^{uv}$ satisfies Lorentz algebra (§2.1, eq. (5))

Let $\psi(p)$ be in representation

$$U(\Lambda)\psi(p) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega_{uv}\gamma^{uv}}\psi(p)$$

Using $\gamma^{i\bar{j}} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{i\bar{j}k} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix}$, gives

for $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$ under angular momentum transformations: $\phi \mapsto e^{-i\omega_{12}\frac{1}{2}\sigma^3}$
 $\chi \mapsto e^{-i\omega_{12}\frac{1}{2}\sigma^3}$

$\rightarrow \psi$ is in $(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \oplus (0, \frac{1}{2})$ rep. of Lorentz group

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (i\gamma^m p'_m - m)\psi' &= (i\gamma^m \Lambda_m^{\nu} p_\nu - m)U(\Lambda)\psi \\ &= (iU(\Lambda)\gamma^m U(\Lambda)^{-1} p_m - m)U(\Lambda)\psi \\ &= U(\Lambda)(i\gamma^m p_m - m)\psi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$U(\Lambda) \gamma^\lambda U(\Lambda)^{-1} \stackrel{\text{infinitesimal } \omega_{\mu\nu}}{=} \gamma^\lambda - \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} [\gamma^{\mu\nu}, \gamma^\lambda]$$
$$= \gamma^\lambda + \gamma^\mu \omega_\mu{}^\lambda$$

or in general $= \gamma^\mu \Lambda_\mu{}^\lambda$

i.e. γ^μ is a "Lorentz vector"

□